PCT/GB2004/005006

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis, 1(c))

To:

MURGITROYD & COMPANY Scotland House 165-169 Scotland Street Glasgow G5 8PL ROYAUME-UNI

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 08 June 2006 (08.06.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P36104A/CMU/MCM

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005006

International filing date (day/month/year) 26 November 2004 (26.11,2004)

Priority date (day/month/year) 26 November 2003 (26.11.2003)

Applicant

THE QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY OF BELFAST et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Dorothée Mülhausen

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 87 40

Form PC1/IB/326 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P36104A/CMU/MCM	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below					
International application No. PCT/GB2004/005006	International filing date (day/month/year) 26 November 2004 (26.11.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 26 November 2003 (26.11.2003)					
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237							
Applicant THE QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY OF I	BELFAST						

1.	 This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a). 						
2.	. This REPORT consists of a total of 17 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Box No. 1 Basis of the report					
	Box No. II	Box No. II Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inver	ntion				
	Bux No. V	Reasoned statement u applicability; citations	inder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial s and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cit	ed				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the	international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations of	on the international application				
 The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2). 							
			Date of issuance of this report				
			29 May 2006 (29.05.2006)				
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		lombettes	Authorized officer Dorothée Mülhausen				
			Telephone No. +41 22 338 87 40				
om P	CT/IB/373 (January 2004)						

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY					
Front the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUT	HORITY		REC'D 0 7 OCT 2005		
То:			P PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220		Date of mailing	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 bis. 1)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		 			
see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 belo	ACTION		
International application No. PCT/GB2004/005006 International Patent Classification (IPC) or C12N15/11, A61K31/7088	International filing date (d 26.11.2004	lay.tnonth/year)	Priority date (day/monthlyear) 26.11.2003		
Applicant THE QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY OF					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the undernational Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
For further details, see notes to For					

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Macchia, G

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4078



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005006

Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
1. With regard to the language this spirit at							
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 							
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).							
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a. type of material:							
a sequence listing							
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing							
b. format of material:							
☐ in written format							
☐ in computer readable form							
c. time of filing/furnishing:							
Contained in the international application as filed.							
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.							
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional appropriate, were furnished.							
4. Additional comments:							
Box No. II Priority							
1. The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.							
2. If This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3. Additional observations, if necessary:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005006

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
\boxtimes						
be	because:					
X	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-15, with reference to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	See separate sheet for further o	letail	s			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005006

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-4, 13-17, 26-30, 39-41, 43, 44

No: Claims

5-12, 18-25, 31-38, 42

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1, 2, 16, 29

No: Claims

5-15, 17-28, 30-44

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

16-44

Mo:

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005006

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: HYER M.L. et al.: "Downregulation of c-FLIP sensitizes DU145 prostate cancer cells to Fas-mediated apoptosis "CANCER BIOLOGY & THERAPY, vol. 1, no. 4, July 2002, pages 401-406;
- D2: USLU R. et al.: "Chemosensitization of human prostate carcinoma cell lines to anti-Fas-mediated cytotoxicity and apoptosis "CLINICAL CANCER RESEARCH, THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR CANCER RESEARCH, US, vol. 3, no. 6, June 1997, pages 963-972;
- D3: THÉARD D. et al.: " Etoposide and Adriamycin but not Genistein can activate the checkpoint kinase Chk2 independently of ATM/ATR " BIOCHEMICAL AND BIOPHYSICAL RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS, vol. 289, 2001, pages 1199-1204;
- D4: GUROVA K.V. et al.: "Cooperation of two mutant p53 alleles contributes to Fas resistance of prostate carcinoma cells "CANCER RESEARCH, vol. 63, 1 June 2003, pages 2905-2912;
- D5: IWASE M. et al.: "Enhanced susceptibility of oral squamous cell carcinoma cell lines to Fas-mediated apoptosis by Cisplatin and 5-Fluorouracil" INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CANCER, vol. 106, 10 September 2003, pages 619-625;
- D6: WAKASA T. et al.: "The combination of ionizing radiation and expression of a wild type p53 gene via recombinant adenovirus induced a prominent tumour suppressing effect in human oral squamous cell carcinoma "THE BRITISH JOURNAL OF RADIOLOGY, vol. 75, 2002, pages 657-662;

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005006

- D7: WO 03/035868 A (RIBOPHARMA AG (DE); WAJANT Harald; PFIZENMAIER Klaus; LIMMER Stefan; KREUTZER Roland; VORNLOCHER Hans-Peter) 1 May 2003;
- D8: SIEGMUND D. et al.: "Selective inhibition of FLICE-like Inhibitory Protein (FLIP) expression with small interfering RNA oligonucleotides (siRNAs) is sufficient to sensitize tumor cells for TRAIL-induced apoptosis "MOLECULAR MEDICINE, vol. 8, no. 11, November 2002, pages 725-732;
- D9: DATABASE EMBL-EBI 1 July 1999, " Homo sapiens FLICE-like inhibitory protein long form mRNA, complete CDs. " Database accession no. U97074;
- D10: KAMARAJAN P. et al.: "Up-regulation of FLIP in cisplatin-selected HeLa cells causes cross-resistance to CD95/Fas death signalling "BIOCHEMICAL JOURNAL, vol. 376, no. 1, 15 November 2003, pages 253-260;
- D11: MAY E. et al.: " Endogenous HeLa p53 proteins are easily detected in HeLa cells transfected with mouse deletion mutant p53 gene " ONCOGENE, vol. 6, no. 8, 1991, pages 1363-1366;
- D12: PEDERSEN I.M. et al.: " The triterpenoid CDDO induces apoptosis in refractory CLL B cells " BLOOD, vol. 100, no. 8, 15 October 2002, pages 2965-2972;
- D13: POULAKI V. et al.: "Fas-mediated apoptosis in neuroblastoma requires mitochondrial activation and is inhibited by FLICE inhibitor protein and bcl-2 "CANCER RESEARCH, vol. 61, 15 June 2001, pages 4864-4872.

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005006

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

III.1). Claims 1-15 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; cltations and explanations supporting such statement

V.1). Document D5 describes a method of killing oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) cell lines NA and HSC-4, comprising administration to said cells of c-FLIP antisense oligonucleotides, CDDP (a platinum cytotoxic agent) and/or 5-FU, and the agonist Fas antibody CH-11 (see relevant passages throughout the entire article, in particular, see figure 6 and passages related thereto). Application of the results disclosed in this article for cancer therapy are also disclosed in D5. HSC-4 cells harbour the p53 mutation Arg248Glu (see D6: pages 660-661).

The subject-matter of claims **5-12**, **18-25**, **31-38** is therefore not novel (Article 33(2) PCT; for claims **8**, **9**, **21**, **22**, **34** and **35**, insofar as administration of 5-FU is concerned).

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005006

V.2). Document D10 describes a method of killing cancer HeLa cells comprising administration to said cells of (a) a c-FLIP antisense oligonucleotide and (b) cisplatin or Fas antibodies (D10: figures 6, 7 and relevant passages related thereto). HeLa cells contain no detectable p53 protein, due to HPV-mediated degradation of p53 itself (see D11: relevant passages throughout the entire article). Application of the results disclosed in this article for cancer therapy are also disclosed in D10.

The subject-matter of claim 42, insofar as a kit comprising a platinum cytotoxic agent is concerned, is therefore not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

- V.3). Present claims 1-4, 13-17, 26-30, 39-41, 43, 44, the parts of claims 8, 9, 21, 22, 34, 35 related to administration of oxaliplatin or CPT-11, and the part of claim 42 related to a kit comprising a thymidylate synthase inhibitor or a topoisomerase inhibitor, formally meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT because their subject-matter was not disclosed in the available prior art.
- V.4). The subject-matter of claims 8, 9, 21, 22, 34 and 35 differs in part from the disclosure of D5 in that administration of oxaliplatin or CPT-11 is concerned.

The problem to be solved may therefore be regarded as the provision of a further platinum cytotoxic agents, to be used in a method of killing cancer cells.

The solution to this problem, as claimed in present claims **8**, **9**, **21**, **22**, **34** and **35** cannot be considered as involving an inventive step for the following reasons: the compounds oxaliplatin and CPT-11 can be considered equivalent in their scope to the compound CDDP, and can be interchanged with this latter compound where circumstances make it desirable. They therefore represent merely some of several

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005006

straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem of finding alternative platinum cytotoxic agents.

V.5). The subject-matter of claims 14, 15, 27, 28, 40, 41, 43 and 44 differs in part from the disclosure of D5 in that interfering RNA oligonucleotides are concerned.

The problem to be solved may therefore be regarded as the provision of further c-FLIP inhibitory agents.

The solution to this problem, as claimed in present claims 14, 15, 27, 28, 40, 41, 43 and 44 (for claims 15, 28, 41, 43 and 44, insofar as an RNAi agent having nucleotide sequence as from SEQ ID NO:1 is concerned) cannot be considered as involving an inventive step for the following reasons: small interfering RNA oligoribonucleotides can be considered equivalent in their scope to antisense oligonucleotides, and can be interchanged with these latter where circumstances make it desirable (see for example document D7, and its corresponding article D8, D9 being the c-FLIP sequence cited by D8).

They therefore represent merely some of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem of finding alternative c-FLIP inhibitors. Concerning the RNAi agent having nucleotide sequence as from SEQ ID NO:1, concerned in present claims 15, 28, 41, 43 and 44, it should be remarked that document D7 and D8 disclose an RNAi agent whose sequence overlaps partially with